

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pagent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/759,183	01/12/2001	Yoichiro Igarashi	FUJR 18.213	9980	
26304	7590 . 11/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP			LAZARO, DAVID R		
575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2155		
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/759,183	IGARASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Lazaro	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice is a period of the p	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 O</u>	ctober 2004.					
, ,						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	; G .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·				

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed 10/14/2004 and the petition decision of 04/28/05, which vacates the previous holding of abandonment.

- 2. Claims 15, 17 and 19 were canceled.
- 3. Claims 1-14, 16 and 18 are pending in this office action.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 10/14/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,038,445 by Alperovich et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. Particularly, the examiner agrees that Alperovich et al. does not teach that the home server sends a service profile to both the home and foreign agents. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16 and 18 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicants argue on page 11 of the remarks with respect to claim 16- "In the context of the present invention, the "network nodes" refer to a home agent and a foreign agent. Service profiles are sent to those nodes for initial setting and updating by the service profile setting means and service profile updating means."

Application/Control Number: 09/759,183

Art Unit: 2155

a. Examiner's response - While the context of the present invention may suggest such, applicants are reminded that although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). It is noted that the features of both a home agent and a foreign agent are not recited in claim 16. Furthermore, there are no limitations corresponding to a foreign domain/network. Claims must be given their broadest reasonable interpretation (MPEP 2111). The foreign agent of Alperovich is responsible for tunneling and detunneling packets (incoming and outgoing packets). As such, the examiner considers the foreign agents to be within the scope of the claimed subject matter.

Page 3

- 7. Applicants argue on page 11 of the remarks with respect to claim 18 "For at least the same reasons as discussed above for claim 1, the Alperovich reference fails to show or suggest the service profile updating means recited in claim 18."
 - b. Examiner's response As noted above, although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Claim 18 does not describe distributing and setting a service profile in both a home agent and foreign agent. Claims must be given their broadest reasonable interpretation (MPEP 2111). The examiner considers the service profile update at the foreign agent (remote MSC) as disclosed by

Application/Control Number: 09/759,183

Art Unit: 2155

Alperovich and acknowledged in applicants' remarks (See bottom of page 10 of remarks) to be within the scope of the claimed subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6.038.445 by Alperovich et al. (Alperovich).
- 10. With respect to Claim 16, Alperovich teaches a home server located in an administrative domain to which a user terminal belongs, comprising:

service profile setting means for retrieving a service profile from a service control database when the user terminal initiates a communication session, and distributing and setting the retrieved service profile to network nodes that tunnel and detunnel packets for delivery to the user terminal (column 7, lines 36-67); and

service profile updating means for generating an event signal when a control condition described in the retrieved service profile is met, obtaining a new service profile from said service control database in response to the event signal, and distributing the

Art Unit: 2155

new service profile so as to replace the initial service profile being set in the network nodes (column 7, lines 36-67).

- 11. With respect to Claim 18, Alperovich et al teach a home agent located in an administrative domain to which a user terminal belong, comprising:
- peer terminal interface means for interfacing with a peer terminal communicating with the user terminal (column 3, lines 30-35),.
- tunneling control means for maintaining the location of the user terminal that is visiting a foreign network, and tunneling packet for delivery to the user terminal (column 3, lines 45-54); and
- service profile updating means for updating a service profile which defines what class of service to offer to the user terminal (column 4, lines 14-33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 1-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,038,445 by Alperovich et al. (Alperovich) in view of U.S. Patent 6,480,715 by Pentikainen (Pentikainen).
- 14. With respect to Claim 1, Alperovich teaches a network system comprising:

(a) a home agent, coupled to the peer terminal, which maintains the location of the user terminal and tunnels packets for delivery to the user terminal (column 3, lines 35-39; column 3, lines 45-54).

- (b) a foreign agent which detunnels and delivers the packets to the user terminal that is visiting a foreign network (column 3, lines 45-54, visiting mobile switching center);
- (c) a service control database which maintains a customizable service profile defining what class of service to provide to the user terminal (column 3, lines 35-39; column 4, lines 14-33, HLR);
- (d) a home server located in a first administrative domain to which the user terminal belongs (column 3, lines 35-39,* column 3, lines 45-54, home PLMN; column 4, lines 14- 33, HLR), comprising:

service profile setting means for retrieving the service profile from said service control database when the user terminal initiates a communication session (column 7, lines 36-67), and distributing and setting the retrieved service profile to said foreign agent as an initial service profile (column 7, lines 36-67), and

service profile updating means for generating an event signal when a control condition described in the retrieved service profile is met, obtaining a new service profile from said service control database in response to the event signal, and distributing the new service profile so as to replace the initial service profile being set in said foreign agent (column 7, lines 36-67); and

Art Unite 0155

Art Unit: 2155

(e) a foreign server located in a second administrative domain, which forwards the initial service profile and new service profile from said home server to said foreign agent (column 3, lines 45-53, visiting PLMN).

Alperovich is silent on the details of communications with a peer terminal and does not disclose distributing and setting the retrieved service profile to both said home agent and foreign agent as an initial service profile as well as distributing the new service profile so as to replace the initial service profile being set in both said home and foreign agent. Pentikainen teaches that a service profile will be retrieved and distributed to both a home and foreign system (Col. 7 lines 62- Col. 8 line 3: group profile data, which includes class of services -col. 2 lines 14-25 - is distributed to both a home and foreign system).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the system disclosed by Alperovich and modify it as indicated by Pentikainen such that the system further comprises service profile setting means for retrieving the service profile from said service control database when the user terminal initiates a communication session, and distributing and setting the retrieved service profile to said home agent and foreign agent as an initial service profile, and service profile updating means for generating an event signal when a control condition described in the retrieved service profile is met, obtaining a new service profile from said service control database in response to the event signal, and distributing the new service profile so as to replace the initial service profile being set in said home agent and foreign agent. One would be motivated to have this, as it is

desirable to reliably maintain profile data (In Pentikainen: Col. 5 line 56 - Col. 6 line 9 and Col. 2 lines 35-57).

- 15. With respect to Claim 2, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 1, wherein said home server generates the event signal for the update of the service profile by detecting at least one of: an event related to user authentication (In Alperovich: Col. 3, lines 45-54), an event related to authorized use of network resources (In Alperovich: Col.3, lines 45-54), and an event related to accounting functions (In Alperovich: Col. 4, lines 30-34).
- 16. With respect to Claim 3, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 1, further comprising a network control mechanism, which informs said home server of an event that is detected therein, while supervising and managing the network (In Alperovich: Col. 3, line 55 column 4, line 13, Gateway Mobile Switching Center (GMSCI).
- 17. With respect to Claim 4, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 3, wherein said service profile updating means in said home server obtains and distributes the new service profile in response to the event informed by said network control mechanism (In Alperovich: Col. 8, lines 42-54).
- 18. With respect to Claim 5, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 1, wherein said home agent performs route optimization when a packet from the peer terminal is intercepted and tunneled to the user terminal, keeps a record about the peer terminal that has been subjected to the route

Art Unit: 2155

optimization, and refers to the record to identify the peer terminal when a service profile change request is received from said home server (In Alperovich: Col. 4, lines 5-10).

- 19. With respect to Claim 6, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 1, wherein said home server allocates said home agent when the user terminal initiates the communication session (In Alperovich: Col. 13, lines 45-53, HLR).
- 20. With respect to Claim 7, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 6, further comprising another foreign agent located within the second administrative domain, and which sends a service profile change request message to the user terminal's previous foreign agent when the user terminal has moved and registered with said another foreign agent (In Alperovich: Col. 4, lines 13-33).
- 21. With respect to Claim 8, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 6, further comprising: another foreign server which covers a third administrative domain (In Alperovich: Col. 4, lines 14- 33), and another foreign agent which is located within the third administrative domain, wherein said home server sends a service profile change request message to both the user terminal's previous foreign server and said another foreign server when the user terminal has moved and registered with said another foreign agent (In Alperovich: Col. 4, lines 14- 33).
- 22. With respect to Claim 9, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 1, wherein said foreign server allocates said home agent

Art Unit: 2155

when the user terminal initiates the communication session (In Alperovich: Col. 5, lines 1-24).

- 23. With respect to Claim 10, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 9, further comprising another foreign agent which is located within the second administrative domain, and which sends a service profile change request message to the user terminal's previous foreign agent when the user terminal has moved and registered with said another foreign agent (In Alperovich: Col. 7, lines 41-67).
- 24. With respect to Claim 11, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 9, further comprising: another foreign server which is located in a third administrative domain (In Alperovich: Col. 4, lines 14-33), and another foreign agent which covers another foreign network within the third administrative domain (In Alperovich: Col. 4, lines 14-33), wherein said home server sends a service profile change request message to both the user terminal's previous foreign server and said another foreign server when the user terminal has moved and registered with said another foreign agent (In Alperovich: Col. 4, lines 14-33).
- 25. With respect to Claim 12, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 1, further comprising: an address translation server which provides a service using predetermined address translation rules (In Alperovich: Col. 7, lines 47-56; column 8, lines 21-41), wherein said service profile updating means in said home server produces and distributes the new service profile when an event related to

Art Unit: 2155

the address translation rules occurs during the service (In Alperovich: Col. 7, lines 47-56; column 8, lines 21-41).

- 26. With respect to Claim 13, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 1, further comprising conflict avoiding means for avoiding a conflict between said service profile updating means activated by the event signal detected in said home server and a person who is attempting to modify the service profile stored in said service control database (In Alperovich: Col. 8, lines 10-19).
- 27. As per claim 14, Alperovich in view of Pentikainen teaches the network system according to claim 13, wherein said conflict avoiding means deactivates the event signal in case of conflict, and after the modification of the service profile is finished, redistributes the service profile and reactivates the event signal (In Alperovich: 8, lines 10-19).

Conclusion

- 28. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 29. U.S. Patent 6,622,016 by Sladek et al. "System for controlled provisioning of telecommunications services" September 16, 2003. Discloses updating of subscriber profile information based on an event.
- 30. U.S. Patent 6,731,932 by Rune et al. "Methods and systems for handling subscriber data" May 4, 2004. Discloses transferring a subscriber profile to a new VLR or updating subscriber profile data from a previous VLR.

Art Unit: 2155

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lazaro whose telephone number is 571-272-3986. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Lazaro

November 7, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER